# CONNECTICUT

## LAW

### **JOURNAL**



Published in Accordance with General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXIX No. 34

February 20, 2018

196 Pages

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **CONNECTICUT REPORTS**

Connecticut Housing Finance Authority v. Alfaro, 328 C 134	76
Jones v. State, 328 C 84.  Petition for new trial based on newly discovered DNA evidence; whether new evidence satisfied fourth element for granting petition for new trial under Asherman v. State (202 Conn. 429) because it would probably produce different result in new trial; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court should have engaged in de novo review of whether new evidence was likely to produce different result; whether traditional considerations for applying abuse of discretion standard of review were implicated in present case when judge deciding petition for new trial did not preside at petitioner's criminal trial and parties agreed that new jury would credit new DNA evidence; claim that statute (§ 52-270) that authorizes petitions for new trial limited appellate court's review to determining whether trial court had abused its discretion; whether new evidence proved that it was less likely that petitioner had touched jacket that witness purportedly saw him discard after shooting; whether lack of DNA match between petitioner and hairs found in victim's car would lead to different result at new trial.  Meletrich v. Commissioner of Correction (Order), 328 C 908  O'Brien v. New Haven (Orders), 328 C 909  Ridgaway v. Mount Vernon Fire Ins. Co., 328 C 60  Insurance; action to recover damages for defendant's refusal to provide coverage under insurance policy it issued to its insured, which had assigned its rights in policy to plaintiffs as part of settlement agreement in related action; motion for nonsuit based on plaintiffs' failure to comply with discovery order; motion to open judgment of nonsuit; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly determined that trial court had abused its discretion in rendering judgment of nonsuit for counsel's failure to comply with order of court; claim that Appellate Court improperly applied proportionality test that applies only to sanctions for violations of discovery orders; whether judgment of nonsuit was proportionate sanc	26 116 117 2
court to conduct hearing on sanctions.  State v. Azevedo (Order), 328 C 908	116 229
CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS	
Alaimo v. Alaimo, 179 CA 769	51A
Countinged on most m	

(continued on next page)

Alston v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 179 CA 907  Probate appeal; whether trial court improperly dismissed appeal from decision of Probate Court dismissing petition by minor child seeking special immigrant juvenile status findings and denying petition for removal of guardian; motion for summary reversal of trial court's dismissal of probate appeal; whether appeal was governed by In re Henrry P. BP. (327 Conn. 312); whether Probate Court loses authority to make special immigrant juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 54a-608n [b]) where child who is subject of petition reaches age of majority during pendency of petition; whether this court had authority to issue summary disposition of appeal on merits; whether this court could suspend rules of practice in interest of expediting decision or for other good cause shown.  Mundle v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision), 179 CA 908  Stackpole v. Stamford (Memorandum Decision), 179 CA 908  State v. Smith, 179 CA 734  Operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor or drugs; tampering with witness; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor or drugs; whether there was ample evidence to support finding that defendant operated vehicle just prior to point in time that he encountered state police trooper; whether trial court improperly admitted copy of Facebook message that defendant had sent to witness to persuade her to take part in plan to show that he had not operated motor vehicle; claim that state failed to properly authenticate evidence; harmless error.  State v. Stevenson (Memorandum Decision), 179 CA 908  Stratek Plastics, Ltd. v. Ibar, 179 CA 721.  Foreclosure; attorney's fees; claim that trial court erred in awarding attorney's fees pursuant to statute (§ 52-249 [a]) because it did not conduct hearing as to form of judgment or limitation of time for redemption; whether centan had authority to award attorney's fees un	62A 62A 62A 16A
$vices\ rendered.$ Thomas $v$ . Thomas (Memorandum Decision), 179 CA 907	61A 63A
NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES  Board of Chiropractic Examiners, State	1B
Energy and Environmental Protection, Department of	1B

#### CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes  $\S$  51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications Office of Production and Distribution 111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453 Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178 www.jud.ct.gov

Richard J. Hemenway,  $Publications\ Director$ 

 $Published\ Weekly-Available\ at\ \underline{\text{http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal}}$ 

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by Eric M. Levine, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions* Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.